Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/828,351	AHOLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James C. Lydon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 January 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: of record		
Prior art documents discussed: of record	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumi 	The examiner will provide a steel record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	-	
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) incorporate the limitations of claims 24 and 31 into all independent claims; and incorporate limitation "release of active agent is based on the dissolution of the silica xerogel". Applicant did not agree to the incorporation of claim 31 into all the claims. Upon reconsideration, the claims are maintained rejected (see office action)..